Exhibit 13

```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                        EASTERN DIVISION
 3
     IN RE NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION | MDL No. 2804
 4
    OPIATE LITIGATION
                                  Case No. 17-MD-2804
 5
    APPLIES TO ALL CASES
                                  Hon. Dan A. Polster
 6
7
8
                   Wednesday, April 24, 2019
9
10
               CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
11
                     CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
12
13
                            Volume 2
14
15
             VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of MATTHEW PERRI, III,
16
    BS Pharm, Ph.D., RPh, held at Jones Day,
     1420 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 800, Atlanta,
17
    Georgia, commencing at 8:35 a.m., on the above date,
    before Susan D. Wasilewski, Registered Professional
    Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Certified
18
    Realtime Captioner.
19
20
21
22
                   GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
23
              877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
24
                        deps@golkow.com
25
```

- 1 MR. CHALOS: Object to the form.
- 2 A. I think so. I think the only other place
- 3 there might be something related to marketing of
- 4 generics would be in the section on the distribution
- 5 channels, the supply chain earlier in the report,
- 6 but it wouldn't be anything different. It just
- 7 might be supplemental.
- Q. Okay. And what do you mean, just so that we
- 9 can be clear, when you refer to generic marketing?
- 10 A. So the marketing for brand name
- 11 pharmaceuticals and marketing for generics, in my
- 12 experience, is slightly different.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. So I felt as though I should distinguish
- 15 between the two in the report. So to the extent
- that different methods are used or different themes
- 17 are used, I wanted to have a section that
- specifically related to the themes used with
- 19 generics.
- Q. Okay. And this is specific to generic
- 21 prescription medicines, and in this case opioids,
- it's not generic in the sense of nonspecific or
- unbranded, it's generic prescription medicines and
- 24 opioids?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. All right. If we could turn to Paragraph
- 2 173.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. And the last sentence of that paragraph
- 5 reads: The key marketing messages are focused on
- 6 competitive prices and the assurance of consistent
- 7 supply of quality generic medicines -- medications.
- 8 Did I read that correctly?
- 9 A. Yes, you did.
- 10 Q. Thank you. And I think you reference that
- just a minute ago, that those marketing messages are
- different than what you've seen with the branded
- marketing messages; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. And generic manufacturers do not
- promote the safety, efficacy, or benefits of their
- 17 generic medications; is that correct?
- MR. CHALOS: Object to the form.
- 19 A. I would agree that they generally don't do
- 20 that, but if there is not -- I can't say that that's
- 21 never done with respect to generics. And if we
- qualify that just a little bit, for example,
- 23 sometimes with generics there are -- references are
- 24 made to other products or comparable products, the
- branded product itself. So when that occurs, the

- 1 generic is sort of linking itself to the branded
- 2 rather than just standing alone on its own. So with
- 3 those qualifications -- generally, I completely
- 4 agree with this, and this is what I see in the vast
- 5 majority of the marketing messages associated with
- 6 generics that I saw in the opioid matter, was that
- 7 they focused on consistency of supply, pricing and
- 8 quality of the products.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. And turning to
- 10 Paragraph 182 -- sorry, 181, but just above still on
- 11 page 151.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. Although we can read the sentence from the
- beginning, just go back to page 150. The sentence
- 15 starting: "From a marketing and business
- perspective, for each generic manufacturer who
- decided to enter the opioid market, the profit
- potential outweighed any barriers or potential
- 19 negative aspects of market entry, including concerns
- over the risks of selling opioids."
- 21 Did I read that correctly?
- 22 A. You did.
- 23 O. And this calculus, that profits outweigh the
- risks and costs of a particular product, that
- 25 calculus is not unique to a decision to enter a

- 1 market for opioids; is that correct?
- A. Yes, that's true, the go/no go decision
- described in this section on my report, it would be
- 4 true for any generic product being considered.
- 5 Q. Okay. And medications that are available by
- 6 prescription, as opposed to, say, over the counter,
- 7 that is because there is some degree of risks
- 8 associated with those medications, correct?
- 9 A. I think by definition, prescription
- 10 medications are more dangerous or more -- have more
- 11 potential for harms than over-the-continuer
- 12 medicines, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. So a pharmaceutical manufacturer is
- 14 going to undergo a similar calculus when deciding to
- manufacture or enter the market for any drug,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. I think there would be a contemplative
- decision that would be made and they would -- they'd
- 19 have criteria. Certainly I think the criteria for a
- 20 branded product may be different and certainly have
- 21 higher implications in terms of the amount of
- investment that you've got to put into the product,
- 23 the amount of time that it would take to develop and
- bring to market, but the overall "should we do this
- or not" is going to be pretty similar at the end of

```
1
                      CERTIFICATE
 2
              I, SUSAN D. WASILEWSKI, Registered
 3
     Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter
     and Certified Realtime Captioner, do hereby certify
 4
 5
      that, pursuant to notice, the deposition of MATTHEW
     PERRI, III, BS Pharm, Ph.D., RPh, was duly taken on
 6
 7
     Wednesday, April 24, 2019, at 8:35 a.m. before me.
 8
              The said MATTHEW PERRI, III, BS Pharm, Ph.D.,
     RPh, was duly sworn by me according to law to tell
 9
10
      the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
11
     and thereupon did testify as set forth in the above
      transcript of testimony. The testimony was taken
12
13
     down stenographically by me. I do further certify
14
     that the above deposition is full, complete, and a
      true record of all the testimony given by the said
15
16
     witness, and that a review of the transcript was
17
     requested.
18
19
20
      Susan D. Wasilewski, RPR, CRR, CCP
21
      (The foregoing certification of this transcript does
22
     not apply to any reproduction of the same by any
23
     means, unless under the direct control and/or
24
      supervision of the certifying reporter.)
25
```